

T.A.A.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL  
BCC AGENDA PACKET & BOARD ORDER  
DISTRIBUTION LIST**

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

**Board Packet Procedures:**

- 1. **Original packet in COMPLETE hard copy form:** Submit to Sonny Chickering for review & approval (BCC Agenda Checklist must be printed on bright pink paper – see Vonnie or Stephonee for assistance, if needed).
- 2. **Electronic Copies:** send all documents (saved separately) within packet as one email to Vonnie Rainwater and Stephonee Freeman, at the same time you submit your hard copy to Sonny for review.
- 3. **Submission Due Date:** Two Mondays prior to the Wednesday BCC Award Date, ie: Award Date is June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2006 – packet is due to Vonnie no later than Monday, June 12<sup>th</sup>.

**Agenda Title:** **IN THE MATTER OF RESCINDING THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR THE ESTIMATED ASSESSMENTS FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF GREEN CREEK ROAD BRIDGE AT MP 0.23 (A LOCAL ACCESS ROAD) AND FINDING THAT REPLACEMENT OF THE BRIDGE IS OF BENEFIT TO THE COUNTY GENERALLY**

**Contact:** Frank Simas **Ext:** 6980

**ENGINEERING ADMINISTRATION**

- Sonny Chickering (Hard Copy)
- Debby Woodruff
- Stephonee Freeman (Electronic)

**DESIGN ENGINEERING**

- Bill Morgan
- Bill Andersen
- Brad Lemhouse
- Bob Wilson

**FIELD ENGINEERING**

- Dave Brown
- Bill Cummings
- Dan Ingram
- Mike Jackson
- Ed Daniels

**ROAD MAINTENANCE**

- Arno Nelson
- John Petsch
- Mike Russell
- Bill Shankle
- John Bourland
- Darrell Randall
- Dave Wobbe
- Jeff Smith
- Greg Boyle
- Bill Manewal
- Carmin Saari

**RIGHT-OF-WAY MANAGEMENT**

- I
- Frank Simas
- Ron Rager
- Doug Freeman
- 

**TRANSPORTATION PLANNING**

- Tom Stinchfield
- Ed Chastain
- Brian Mladenich (GIS)
- Cory Farstad
- Mike Pattle
- Celia Barry
- Gary Luke
- 
- 

**OTHER**

- Karen Dillin  (Distribution Form)
- (Packet)
- 

— ADMINISTRATIVE USE —

	1 <sup>st</sup> Draft	Final Draft
Date Submitted:		
BCC Award Date:	8/15/06	
<input type="checkbox"/> Rvw'd/Apprvd by Sonny:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic copy to: <input type="checkbox"/> Vonnie <input type="checkbox"/> Stephonee:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Agenda –Distributed:	N/A	
<input type="checkbox"/> Board Orders Distributed:	N/A	

**TO:** Board of County Commissioners

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Works

**PRESENTED BY:** Sonny Chickering, County Engineer

**AGENDA ITEM TITLE:** SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL SUBMITTAL IN THE MATTER OF RESCINDING THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR THE ESTIMATED ASSESSMENTS FOR GREEN CREEK ROAD BRIDGE AT MP 0.23 (A LOCAL ACCESS ROAD) AND FINDING THAT REPLACEMENT OF THE BRIDGE IS OF BENEFIT TO THE COUNTY GENERALLY

---

**I. MOTION**

THAT THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT OF ESTIMATED ASSESSMENTS FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF GREEN CREEK ROAD BRIDGE (A LOCAL ACCESS ROAD) AT MILEPOST 0.23 BE RESCINDED.

**II. AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

The Board has determined that there was a misunderstanding with the affected property owners on Green Creek Road at the time of the original meeting with the Board. The property owners were under the impression that the County would pay for the bridge and felt that there was no discussion at that time of them being assessed for cost of the replacement of the bridge. The assessments were not specifically discussed until a following meeting when the property owners were not present. At the meeting of the Board held on June 28, 2006, the Board gave Public Works staff direction to suspend further action concerning assessment of the costs of the project to the benefiting property owners pending the Board's reconsideration of its previous decision with regard to assessments.

**III. BACKGROUND/IMPLICATIONS OF ACTION**

**A. Board Action and Other History**

The intended load rating for the temporary flatcar bridge based on the size and condition of the structural components and the proposed design of its finished installation was no less than 27 tons, with the understanding that a formal load rating of the bridge would be performed by the County's consultant once the installation was completed and prior to revision of the official load posting by the Board.

A concern that was voiced by several of the property owners was that the Board-directed minimum load rating of 27 tons (54,000 lbs.) for the temporary replacement flatcar bridge, although sufficient for providing access by fire-fighting apparatus, was not sufficient to handle heavier highway legal loads such as 5-axle log trucks, and that the proposed minimum loading was marginally adequate for typical 3-axle delivery vehicles trucks such as loaded dump trucks.

The bridge installation is now complete, and Otak, Inc. was hired to complete a detailed engineering assessment of the structural components of the railroad flatcar bridge and the related site conditions. Otak has completed their analysis and is recommending that the operating load rating for this bridge be posted at 30 tons for a 3-axle configuration, 40 tons for 5-axle tractor-trailer configuration and 38 tons for a six-axle truck and pull-trailer configuration as illustrated on Attachment 1.

Because the load rating information was not available at the time the original Board packet for this item was submitted, staff is now providing it via this Supplemental Memo. Staff will soon submit a separate Agenda item to the Board concerning revising the official load posting for the bridge.

**B. Policy Issues**

Lane Code 15.636 requires that when the Board determines that the public interest requires improvement to a bridge on a Local Access Road the direct cost of the bridge improvement or replacement shall be assessed to the specially benefiting property owners on a uniform basis as determined by the Board. Payment of the cost of the replacement bridge is contrary to this Code provision and to County policy generally with respect to expenditures for work on Local Access roads.

On the other hand, Section 9, Subsection (2) (Public Improvements) of the Lane County Home Rule Charter provides that to the extent to which the Board finds that a public improvement is of benefit to the County generally, the cost of the improvement may be defrayed by revenue from other sources, and does not distinguish between an improvement on a County-maintained road and a Local Access Road.

**C. Financial and/or Resource Considerations**

If there are to be no assessments to the benefiting property owners, monies already expended from the Operations and Maintenance portion of the County Road Fund for replacement of this bridge will not be reimbursed.

**D. Alternatives/Options**

Rescinding Director's Report Green Creek Road Br.

1. Rescind the Director's Report, forego the revenue from the assessments of approximately \$65,000.00, and fund the project from the Road Fund Operations and Maintenance Budget.
2. Continue with the assessment process and set pending liens on the benefiting properties in the amount of the estimated assessments.

**IV. TIMING/IMPLEMENTATION**

Pursuant to Board Direction, staff will mail notices to affected property owners notifying them of the Board's decision. If the Board directs Option 2, staff will also prepare an item for the Consent Calendar setting the pending liens on the benefiting properties.

**V. RECOMMENDATION**

Option 2.




**VI. FOLLOW-UP**

Staff will notify property owners of the decision by the Board as to whether they will be assessed for the bridge replacement, or that all costs will be borne by the County.

**VII. ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1-Graphic showing recommended posting per Otak, Inc.

# WEIGHT LIMITS

	30	TONS
	40	TONS
	38	TONS

**OR12-5b**